

Permission to Appeal results – November 2017

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Perry (Respondent) <i>v</i> Raleys Solicitors (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0093 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 314	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Granted 6 Nov 2017	
R (on the application of P) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretaries of State for the Home Department and others (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 321	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Granted 6 Nov 2017	
R (on the application of Stott) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0097 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWHC 214	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Granted 6 Nov 2017	
Krol (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0118 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 321	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered at this time. The point now sought to be argued only arises against the Secretary of State who only intervened below to point out that it did not arise in the proceedings as constituted.
Ahmed (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0089 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 1999	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In relation to the point of European Law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer.
Ansari (Appellant) <i>v</i> Aberdeen City Council (Respondent) and others (Scotland) UKSC 2017/0102 Neutral Citation No: [2017] CISH 5	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lady Black	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered at this time given the extant proceedings against the Scottish Ministers.

R&S Pilling T/A Phoenix Engineering (Respondent) <i>v</i> UK Insurance Limited (Appellant) and another UKSC 2017/0096 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 259	Lord Mance Lord Hughes Lord Briggs	Granted 6 Nov 2017	
Oraki and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Bramston and another (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0137 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 403	Lord Mance Lord Hughes Lord Briggs	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Mastercard Incorporated and others (Respondents) <i>v</i> Deutsche Bahn AG and others (Appellants) UKSC 2017/0095 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 272	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted 6 Nov 2017	
IR (Jamaica) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0108 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 419	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of Richards) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Chief Constable of Cleveland Police (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0090 Neutral Citation Nos: [2015] EWCA Civ 7 [2013] EWCA QB 2208	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Beatt (Respondent) <i>v</i> Croydon Health Services NHS Trust (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0107 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 401	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Scottish Ministers and others (Respondents) (Scotland) UKSC 2017/0143 Neutral Citation No: [2017] CISH 31	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.

Wells (Respondent) <i>v</i> Devani (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0223 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 1106	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Granted 6 Nov 2017	
Nawaz (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Upper Tribunal (Respondent) (Scotland) UKSC 2016/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 391	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Fowkes and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> Chief Constable of West Midlands Police and others (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0086 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 191	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) <i>v</i> KAM and others (AP) (Appellants) UKSC 2017/0166 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 810	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise points which ought to be considered at this time in the light of the procedural changes in the implementation of the Dublin III Regulation in France, and between France and the UK, to which the Court of Appeal referred at paragraph 98.
In the matter of M (Children) UKSC 2017/0134 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 891	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In relation to the point of European Law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the question raised is irrelevant. The issue is one dictated by the limitations of domestic law.
Kandamwala (Appellant) <i>v</i> Cambridgeshire Constabulary HQS (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0039 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 391	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
In the matter of an application by Colin Duffy for Judicial Review (AP) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2017/0105 Neutral Citation No: [2016] NIQB 77	Lord Wilson Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 6 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
The National Crime Agency (Respondent) <i>v</i> N (Appellant) and Another UKSC 2017/0087 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 253	Lord Mance Lord Hughes Lord Briggs	Refused 20 Nov 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.