

Permission to Appeal results – Late May and June 2017

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Total Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0023 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 1310	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Granted 26 May 2017	
JP Whitter (Water Well Engineers) Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0016 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 1160	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Granted 26 May 2017	
Crystal Property (London) Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and another (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0010 Neutral Citation Number: [2016] EWCA Civ 1265	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Gray and another (Appellant) <i>v</i> Braid Group (Holdings) Limited and others (Respondents) (Scotland) UKSC 2017/0017 Neutral Citation Number: [2016] CSIH 68	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Watts (Appellant) <i>v</i> Stewart and others as Trustees of the Ashtead United Charity (A registered charity) (Respondents) UKSC 2017/0018 Neutral Citation Number: [2016] EWCA Civ 1247	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Patersons of Greenoakhill Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0014 Neutral Citation No: [2016] 2016 EWCA 1250	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.

<p>McFarlane (Appellant) <i>v</i> Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0033 Neutral Citation No: [2-16] CSIH 81</p> <p>Macleod (Appellant) <i>v</i> Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0034 Neutral Citation No: [2016] CSIH 81</p>	<p>Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge</p>	<p>Refused 26 May 2017</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>Williams and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> London Borough of Hackney (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0037 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 26</p>	<p>Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Wilson</p>	<p>Granted 26 May 2017</p>	
<p>R (on the application of Ferreira) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Her Majesty's Senior Coroner for Inner London South (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0036 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 31</p>	<p>Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Wilson</p>	<p>Refused 26 May 2017</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The Court of Appeal were right for each of the reasons they gave.</p>
<p>English Electric Company Limited (Respondent) <i>v</i> Alstom UK (A Private Unlimited Company) (Appellant) UKSC 2017/0027 Neutral Citation Number: [2016] EWCA Civ 1314</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Sumption</p>	<p>Refused 26 May 2017</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.</p>
<p>Vertu Holdings Oy (a company incorporated under the laws of Finland) and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Crown Bidco Ltd (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0044 Neutral Citation Number: [2017] EWCA Civ 67</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Sumption</p>	<p>Refused 26 May 2017</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.</p>
<p>Ente Nazionale de Previdenza ed Assistenza Dei Medici e Degli Odontoiatri (Appellant) <i>v</i> Barclays Bank Plc (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0009 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 1261</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Sumption</p>	<p>Granted 26 May 2017</p>	

Blue Tropic Limited and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Chkhartishvili (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0011 Neutral Citation Number: [2016] EWCA Civ 1259	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
In the matter of an application by Gerard Magee for Judicial Review (AP) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2017/0038 Neutral Citation No: [2016] NICA 19	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal
In the matter of an application by Siobhan Mclaughlin for Judicial Review (AP) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2017/0035 Neutral Citation No: [2016] NICA 53	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Granted 26 May 2017	
Bradley (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills (Respondent) (Scotland) UKSC 2017/0021 Neutral Citation No: [2016] CSIH 80	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Gray (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0015 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 1360	Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 26 May 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
In the matter of Charlie Gard UKSC 2017/0094 Neutral Citation No: [2017] EWCA Civ 410	Lady Hale Lord Kerr Lord Wilson	Refused 8 June 2017	Permission to appeal be refused because the proposed grounds of appeal are unarguable.
R (on the application of Weddle) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) UKSC 2017/0030 Neutral Citation No: [2016] EWCA Civ 38	Lady Hale Lord Kerr Lord Wilson	Refused 26 June 2017	Permission to appeal be refused. There may be an arguable point of law of general public importance in this subject area but this case fails on the facts.