

Permission to Appeal results – May 2020

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
R (on the application of Heathrow Hub Ltd and another) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Transport and others (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0057 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWC Civ 213	Lord Reed Lord Hodge Lord Sales	Refused 6 May 2020	Permission be refused on the ground that the application does not raise any arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time, bearing in mind that the matter has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the points of EU law raised, it is unnecessary to refer those questions to the CJEU, as they are not material to the outcome of the appeal. In so far as questions of law are raised which are arguable and of general public importance, they appear to the panel to be academic in the circumstances of these proceedings, given the findings of fact made by the courts below.
R (on the application of London Borough of Hillingdon and others) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Transport and others (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0062 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 214	Lord Reed Lord Hodge Lord Sales	Refused 6 May 2020	Permission be refused on the ground that the application does not raise any arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time, bearing in mind that the matter has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the points of EU law raised, it is unnecessary to refer those questions to the CJEU, as some of them are acte clair and the others are not material to the outcome of the appeal. In so far as questions of law are raised which are arguable and of general public importance, they appear to the panel to be academic in the circumstances of these proceedings, given the findings of fact made by the courts below.
R (on the application of Friends of the Earth Ltd and others) (Respondents) <i>v</i> Heathrow Airport Ltd (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0042 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 214 R (on the application of Friends of the Earth Ltd and others) (Respondents) <i>v</i> Arora Holdings Ltd (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0047 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 214	Lord Reed Lord Hodge Lord Sales	Granted 6 May 2020	
Moss (Appellant) <i>v</i>	Lord Reed	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an

Information Commissioner (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0085 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 580	Lord Hodge Lord Kerr	18 May 2020	arguable point of law of general public importance. It follows that the application for interim remedies is also refused.
Idu (Appellant) <i>v</i> East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust (formerly known as The Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust) (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0214 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1649	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 18 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
R (on the application of Roxlena Ltd) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Cumbria County Council & another (Respondents) UKSC 2019/0216 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1639	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 18 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Finney (Respondent) <i>v</i> Energiekontor UK Ltd (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0232 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1868 Finney (Respondent) <i>v</i> Welsh Ministers (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0233 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1868	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 18 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law.
Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) <i>v</i> PF (Nigeria) (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0231 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1139	Lord Hodge Lord Briggs Lord Leggatt	Refused 19 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Cham (by his Litigation Friend Laura Martin) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Aldred (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0226 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1780	Lord Hodge Lord Briggs Lord Leggatt	Refused 19 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time. The panel expressed the view that it is appropriate that the Civil Procedure Rule Committee consider this matter.

MA (Pakistan) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0241 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1252	Lord Hodge Lord Briggs Lord Leggatt	Refused 19 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused as ground 1 does not raise an arguable error of law and grounds 2 to 5 do not raise arguable points of law of general public importance.
R (on the application of TN (Vietnam)) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department and another (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0031 Neutral Citation No: [2018] EWCA Civ 2838	Lord Hodge Lord Briggs Lord Leggatt	Granted 19 May 2020	
Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Appellant) <i>v</i> Coal Staff Superannuation Scheme Trustees Ltd (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0215 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1610	Lord Reed Lord Kitchin Lord Leggatt	Granted 20 May 2020	
R (on the application of Astley and others) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0222 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1719	Lord Reed Lord Kitchin Lord Leggatt	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
R (on the application of BA) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Health (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0240 Neutral Citation No: [2018] EWCA Civ 2696	Lord Reed Lord Kitchin Lord Leggatt	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. The issue is entirely academic so far as the Appellant is concerned.
Ping Europe Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Competition and Markets Authority (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0038 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 13	Lord Hodge Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 20 May 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.