

Permission to Appeal results – May 2015

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
In the matter of an application by BP for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2015/0096 Neutral Citation No: [2015] NICA 20	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hughes	Refused 5 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and review on appeal. The Court of Appeal was plainly correct.
R (on the application of Robson and Barrett) (Appellants) v Salford City Council (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0028 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 6	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 12 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of No Adastral New Town Limited) (Appellant) v Suffolk Coastal District Council and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0066 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 88	Lord Neuberger Lord Mance Lord Carnwath	Refused 13 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused since the courts below were clearly right, but in any event there is no point of law of general importance.
R v Wootton (Appellant) (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2015/0029 Neutral Citation No: [2014] NICA 14 R v McConville (Appellant) (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2015/0030 Neutral Citation No: [2014] NICA 41	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hughes	Refused 18 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the cases have already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
In the matter of an application by Winston Churchill Rea (AP) for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2015/0074 Neutral Citation No: [2015] NICA 8	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hughes	Refused 18 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of Gill and others) (Appellants) v	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson	Refused 18 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the

<p>Central Criminal Court and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0018 Neutral Citation No: [2014] C1 1032</p> <p>R (on the application of Patel and another) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Central Criminal Court and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0019 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWHC 2821</p> <p>R (on the application of Windsor and others) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Central Criminal Court and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0020 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWHC 2821</p>	<p>Lord Hughes</p>		<p>Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the cases have already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the point of European Union law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the question raised is misconceived.</p>
<p>R (on the application of MM (Lebanon)) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0238 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 985</p> <p>R (on the application of AM (Pakistan)) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0011 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 985</p> <p>R (on the application of Master AF) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i></p>	<p>Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath</p>	<p>Granted 19 May 2015</p>	

<p>Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0014 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 985</p> <p>R (on the application of SJ (Pakistan)) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0024 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 985</p>			
<p>Regional Court in Tarnow Poland (Respondent) <i>v</i> Wojciechowski (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2015/0010 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWHC 4162 Admin</p> <p>Goluchowski (Appellant) <i>v</i> District Court in Elblag, Poland (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0073 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWHC 332</p> <p>SAS (Appellant) <i>v</i> Circuit Court and District Court in Jelenia Gora. Poland (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0079 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWHC Admin 648</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Toulson</p>	<p>Granted 19 May 2015</p>	
<p>SS (India) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0064 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 74</p>	<p>Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath</p>	<p>Refused 20 May 2015</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance.</p>

R (on the application of Khalid) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0065 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 74	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath	Refused 20 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance.
In the matter of H (Children) Case No: UKSC 2015/0043 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1101	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath	Refused 20 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance.
In the matter of M (Children) Case No: UKSC Neutral Citation No:	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath	Refused 20 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Gard Marine & Energy Ltd (Appellant) v China National Chartering Co Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0037 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 16 China National Chartering Co Ltd (Appellant) v Gard Marine & Energy Limited and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0036 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 16 Daiichi Chuo Kisen Kaisha (Appellant) v Gard Marine & Energy Limited and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0038 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 16	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Hodge	Granted 20 May 2015	
Impact funding Solutions Limited (Respondent) v AIG Europe Insurance Ltd (formerly known as Chartis Insurance (UK) Ltd (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2015/0050 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 31	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Hodge	Granted 20 May 2015	

Robson (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Her Majesty's Advocate (Respondent) (Scotland) Case No: 2014/0257 Neutral Citation No: [2014] HCJAC 53	Lord Neuberger Lady Hale Lord Kerr Lord Reed Lord Hodge	Refused 21 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time, bearing in mind the Court's limited jurisdiction in relation to convertible devolution issues.
Thevarajah (Respondent) <i>v</i> Riordan and others (Appellants) Case No: UKSC 2015/0051 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 41	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Hodge	Refused 21 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Rashid and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Sharif and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0035 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 377	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 29 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Changtel Solutions UK Limited (Formerly ENTA Technologies Limited) (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0040 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 29	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 29 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Amoena (UK) Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0046 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 25	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Granted 29 May 2015	
R (on the application of Geller and another) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0041 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 45	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 29 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Fonecomp Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Commission for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2015/0049 Neutral Citation No: [2015] EWCA Civ 39	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 29 May 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In relation to the point of European Union law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling, because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer and the answer is so obvious as to leave no scope for any reasonable doubt.

R (on the application of Wang Yam) (Appellant) v Central Criminal Court and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2015/0044 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWHC Admin 3558	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Granted 29 May 2015	
--	---	------------------------	--