

Permission to Appeal results – July 2013

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Michael and others (FC) (Appellants) <i>v</i> The Chief Constable of South Wales Police and another (Respondents) UKSC 2013/0043	Lord Hope Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	Granted 26 June 2013	
Lumos Skincare Limited (Respondent) <i>v</i> Sweet Squared Limited and others (Appellants) UKSC 2013/0148	Lord Neuberger Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 1 August 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Hall (Appellant) <i>v</i> Harris and others (Respondents) UKSC 2013/0051	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Toulson	Refused 1 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Tamiz (Appellant) <i>v</i> Google Inc. (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0070	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Toulson	Refused 1 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
West London Mental Health NHS Trust (Respondent) <i>v</i> Chhabra (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0046	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Granted 3 July 2013	
Football Dataco Limited and others (Respondents) <i>v</i> Sportradar GMBH and another (Appellants) UKSC 2013/0061	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Toulson	Refused 3 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. In relation to the point of European Union law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling, because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer.
Football Dataco Limited and others (Respondents) <i>v</i> Stan James PLC (A Gibraltarian Company) (Appellant) and others UKSC 2013/0056	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Toulson	Granted 4 July 2013	
Bailey and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> . Graham (Aka Roots) and others (Respondents) UKSC 2013/0060	Lady Hale Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Refused 3 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
R (on the application of Barclay and another) (Respondents) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Justice and Lord Chancellor and others (Appellants) UKSC 2013/0155	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Reed	Granted 24 July 2013	

Telchadder (FC) (Appellant) v Wickland Holdings Limited (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0054	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Reed	Granted 24 July 2013	
In the matter of M (Children) UKSC 2013/0122	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Reed	Refused 24 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Perry (Appellant) v The Nursing and Midwifery Council (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0082	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Reed	Refused 24 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The Court of Appeal were right for the reasons they gave.
Smeaton (Appellant) v Equifax PLC (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0071	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Reed	Refused 24 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The Court of Appeal were right for the reasons they gave.
BBB (Appellant) v CCC (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0141	Lord Neuberger Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 25 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
R (on the application of First Stop Wholesale Limited) (Appellant) v The Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0094	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Carnwath	Granted 25 July 2013	
AIB Group (UK) PLC (Appellant) v Mark Redler & Co. Solicitors (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0052	Lord Neuberger Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Granted 26 July 2013	
R (on the application of Watson) (Appellant) v London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames and another (Respondents) UKSC 2013/0135	Lord Neuberger Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 26 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
Manches LLP (Appellant) v. Knox D'Arcy Operations Limited and another (Respondents) UKSC 2013/0059	Lord Neuberger Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 26 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Antonio Gramsci Shipping Corporation (a company incorporated in Liberia) and others (Appellants) v Aivars Lembergs and others (Respondents) UKSC 2013/0156	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Toulson	Refused 26 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In so far as a point of European Union law is said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling, because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer as to the principles which it is for domestic courts to apply, as they did here.
Lloyds TSB Bank Plc (Respondent) v. Kaushal and another (Appellants) UKSC 2013/0062	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Toulson	Refused 26 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.

The Keepers and Governors of the Possessions Revenues and Goods of the Free Grammar School of John Lyon (in the capacity of trustees of John Lyon's Charity) (Respondent) v Kutchukian (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0077	Lord Neuberger Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 29 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
In the Matter of N (A child) UKSC 2013/0139	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lord Hughes	Refused 29 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. No arguable point of law is raised which could affect the outcome given the facts as found.
International Energy Group Limited (Respondent) v Zurich Insurance PLC UK Branch (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0057	Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Reed	Granted 29 July 2013	
Republic of Lithuania (Appellant) v Liam Campbell (Respondent) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2013/0066	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Refused 31 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. The issues are largely fact specific and turned on the evidence adduced before the court.
The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland (Respondent) v Walker (Appellant) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2013/0069	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Refused 31 July 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time, bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. This case turns on its particular facts. No point of law arises.