

## Permission to Appeal results – January 2020

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
<p>Binbuga (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0108 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 551</p> <p>AM (Somalia) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0208 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 774</p>	<p>Lady Hale Lady Black Lord Briggs</p>	<p>Refused 9 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise a point of law which ought to be considered at this time.</p>
<p>Michael Wilson &amp; Partners Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Emmott (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0072 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 219</p>	<p>Lady Hale Lady Black Lord Briggs</p>	<p>Refused 9 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered at this time.</p>
<p>Koza Ltd (Respondent) <i>v</i> Koza Altin Isletmeleri AS (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0141 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 891</p>	<p>Lady Hale Lady Black Lord Briggs</p>	<p>Refused 9 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered at this time.</p>
<p>Trattamento Rifiuti Metropolitan SPA (Appellant) <i>v</i> BNP Paribas SA (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0123 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 768</p>	<p>Lord Reed Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales</p>	<p>Refused 9 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. In relation to the point of European Law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer. European Law, so far as material, is clear.</p>

Sanambar (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0086	Lady Hale Lady Black Lord Briggs	Granted 9 Jan 2020	
Burnett or Grant (Respondent) <i>v</i> International Insurance Company of Hanover Ltd (Appellant) (Scotland) UKSC 2019/0121 Neutral Citation No: [2019] CSIH 9	Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lady Arden	Granted 9 Jan 2020	
R (on the application of Haworth) (Respondent) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0124 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 747	Lord Wilson Lord Carnwath Lord Kitchin	Granted 9 Jan 2020	
Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Appellant) <i>v</i> Tooth (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0136 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 826	Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lady Arden	Granted 9 Jan 2020	

<p>Zamurrad and another (Appellants) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0058 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 124</p> <p>Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) v Aibangbee (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0069 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 339</p>	<p>Lord Wilson Lord Carnwath Lord Kitchin</p>	<p>Refused 9 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused in <i>Zamurrad</i> because the application does not raise an arguable point of law; in relation to the point of European Law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the answer is so obvious as to leave no scope for any reasonable doubt.</p> <p>Permission to appeal be refused in <i>Aibangbee</i> because the application does not raise a point of law which ought to be considered at this time; in relation to the point of European Law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the question raised is irrelevant.</p>
<p>Foreign and Commonwealth Office and others (Respondents) v Bamieh (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0125 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 803</p>	<p>Lord Reed Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales</p>	<p>Refused 14 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.</p>
<p>Markham (Appellant) v O'Hara (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0113 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 397</p>	<p>Lord Kerr Lord Hodge Lady Arden</p>	<p>Refused 14 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time.</p>
<p>YS (AP) (Appellant) v BS (AP) (Respondent) (Scotland) UKSC 2019/0239 Neutral Citation No: [2019] CSIH 50</p>	<p>Lord Reed Lord Wilson Lady Black</p>	<p>Refused 24 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time. The point sought to be argued was not raised below, and was not in any event of any materiality to the outcome of the father's application.</p>
<p>Bailey and others (Appellants) v GlaxoSmithKline UK Ltd (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0237 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWHC 337 (QB)</p>	<p>Lord Kerr Lord Briggs Lord Kitchin</p>	<p>Refused 24 Jan 2020</p>	<p>Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time.</p>