

## Permission to Appeal results – November 2014

| Case name   | Justices                                       | PTA                    | Reasons given   |
|---|--|------------------------|---|
| Thevarajah (Respondent) <i>v</i><br>Riordan and others (Appellants)<br>UKSC 2014/0071<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 14  | Lord Neuberger<br>Lord Clarke<br>Lord Sumption | Granted<br>10 Nov 2014 |   |
| Novoship (UK) Limited and others (Appellants)<br><i>v</i> Nikitin and others (Respondents)<br>UKSC 2014/0209<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 908  | Lord Neuberger<br>Lord Clarke<br>Lord Sumption | Refused<br>10 Nov 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.  |
| Horne and Meredith Properties Limited<br>(Respondent) <i>v</i><br>Cox and another (Appellants)<br>UKSC 2014/0130<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 423  | Lord Neuberger<br>Lord Clarke<br>Lord Sumption | Refused<br>10 Nov 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.  |
| R (on the application of Cherkley Campaign<br>Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i><br>Mole Valley District Council and another<br>(Respondents)<br>UKSC 2014/0161<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 567 | Lord Neuberger<br>Lord Clarke<br>Lord Carnwath | Refused<br>10 Nov 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.   |
| Marks & Spencer Plc (Appellant) <i>v</i><br>BNP Paribas Securities Services Trust Company<br>(Jersey) Limited and another (Respondents)<br>UKSC 2014/0158<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 603 | Lord Neuberger<br>Lord Clarke<br>Lord Carnwath | Granted<br>11 Nov 2014 |   |
| Gohil (Appellant) <i>v</i><br>Gohil (Respondent)<br>UKSC 2014/0200<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 274  | Lady Hale<br>Lord Wilson<br>Lord Hughes        | Granted<br>12 Nov 2014 |   |
| In the matter of F-M (a child)<br>UKSC 2014/0172<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 434  | Lady Hale<br>Lord Wilson<br>Lord Hughes        | Refused<br>12 Nov 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. There is no error of law in the approach of any of the courts below. |
| In the matter of D (a child)<br>UKSC 2014/0190<br>Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 315  | Lady Hale<br>Lord Wilson<br>Lord Hughes        | Refused<br>12 Nov 2014 | Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. There is no error of law in the approach of any of the courts below. |